This article was downloaded by: On: 29 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Supramolecular Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713649759>

# Concave Reagents 36: Transition Metal Complexes With Concave 1,10 phenanthrolines

Markus Gelbertª; Christina Körberª; Olaf Friedrichª; Frank Fahrenkrugª; Manfred Kellerʰ; Ulrich Lüning<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Kiel, Kiel, Germany <sup>b</sup> Chemisches Laboratorium, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

Online publication date: 29 October 2010

To cite this Article Gelbert, Markus , Körber, Christina , Friedrich, Olaf , Fahrenkrug, Frank , Keller, Manfred and Lüning, Ulrich(2002) 'Concave Reagents 36: Transition Metal Complexes With Concave 1,10-phenanthrolines', Supramolecular Chemistry, 14: 2,  $199 - 210$ 

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10610270290026013a URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10610270290026013a>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



# Concave Reagents 36: Transition Metal Complexes With Concave 1,10-phenanthrolines\*

MARKUS GELBERTª, CHRISTINA KÖRBERª, OLAF FRIEDRICHª, FRANK FAHRENKRUGª, MANFRED KELLER $^\mathrm{b}$  and ULRICH LÜNING<sup>a</sup>'t

aInstitut für Organische Chemie der Universität Kiel, Olshausenstr. 40, D-24098 Kiel, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Chemisches Laboratorium der Universität Freiburg, Albertstr. 21, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

(Received 31 August 2001; In final form 26 November 2001)

Complexes between concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 and transition metal ions  $(Mn^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, Co^{2+}, Ni^{2+}, Cu^+,$  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Ag^+$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ) have been synthesized and characterized by various methods including UV titration, NMR spectroscopy, EPR spectroscopy, cyclovoltammetry, microanalysis and X-ray analysis. The concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 form 1:1 complexes with the metal ions while the complexes of analogous, but non-bimacrocyclic 1,10-phenanthrolines such as 2 and 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline exist in varying stoichiometries.

Keywords: Transition metal complex; Macrocycle; Redox-system; Concave ligands

1,10-Phenanthroline is a good ligand for transition metal ions in various oxidation states [1–6]. The nitrogen donor atoms interact with metal ions forming 3:1 or 2:1 complexes. Substituted 1,10 phenanthrolines such as 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (neocuproine) or 2,9-diarylsubstituted 1,10-phenanthrolines [7–14] complex transition metal ions in an analogous way.

New concave ligands for transition metal ions are the 2,9-diaryl-substituted 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 and 2. In 1, the ortho-positions of the two aromatic bridgeheads are connected by polymethylene or polyether chains to form a bimacrocyclic system [13,14]. The geometry of these bimacrocycles resembles a light bulb, representing the nitrogen atoms of the 1,10-phenanthroline, in a lampshade [15–17], formed by the phenyl substituents and the side chains. Due to the concave shape of these organic ligands, the transition metal ion is coordinated by only one ligand forming a 1:1 complex [14].



$$
-X-\n1a - (CH2)8-\n1b - CH2(CH2OCH2)2CH2-\n1c - CH2(CH2OCH2)3CH2-\n2 -Me Me-
$$

In these complexes, the metal ion is shielded from one side and should be accessible only from the other side (concept of concave reagents [15–17]). By this arrangement, stereo-, enantio-, chemo- or regioselectivities of reactions in which metal ions act as a catalyst should be determined by the concave organic ligand. A first successful application of these complexes led to enhanced stereoselectivities in transition metal catalyzed cyclopropanations [18– 20], Diels-Alder reactions [21] and allylations [22].

<sup>\*</sup>Concave reagents: 35: Lüning, U., Fahrenkrug, F. and Hagen, M. (2001), Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2161-2163.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: þ49-431-880-1558. E-mail: luening@oc.uni-kiel.de.

ISSN 1061-0278 print/ISSN 1029-0478 online q 2002 Taylor & Francis Ltd DOI: 10.1080/10610270290026103

analysis. Most complexes had $[1:1]$ -stoichiometry, stoichiometries other than $[1:1]$ are indicated										
Ligand	$Mn^{2+}$	$Fe2+$	$Fe3+$	$Co2+$	$Ni2+$	$Cu+$	$Cu^{2+}$	$Zn^{2+}$	$Ag^+$	$Cd^{2+}$
$1a \cdot X^{-*}$	$M(3:2) U+Et$	Ut		U+	Ut	Ut				
$1b \cdot X^{-*}$	MU								U§	
$1c\cdot X^{-*}$	UC	<b>MUNC</b>		<b>MUC</b>	<b>MUNC</b>	$UN^{  #}$	$M^*U$		$U^{\$}$	
$1c \cdot$ I $\ddagger$	<b>MNEC</b>			<b>MU+EC</b>	U <sup>+</sup> EC	$\mathcal{C}$				
$2 \cdot X^{-*}$	U <sup>+</sup>	$M^{+}(2:1)$ C	$M^{\P}$	Ut	U+	$2:1$ MN	$M^{**}X^{**}$	$\mathsf{M}^\mathsf{S}$	$M^{\parallel}N^{\parallel}$	$M^{\$}$
$2-I\ddagger$	М			M(3:1)		$M^*N^*$				

TABLE I Overview of complexes between transition metal salts  $MX_n$  and concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 and 2 investigated by various methods: M = microanalysis, U = UV titration, N = NMR spectroscopy, E = EPR spectroscopy, C = cyclovoltammetry,  $\check{X} = X$ -ray analysis. Most complexes had [1:1]-stoichiometry, stoichiometries other than [1:1] are indicated

\*Nf<sup>-</sup> as counter ion unless stated otherwise. † Ref. [14].  $\sharp I^-$  as counter ion. ¶ Cl<sup>-</sup> as counter ion. § Trifluoroacetate as counter ion. ∥ Tetrafluoroborate as counter ion. #Ref. [13]. \*\* $Br^-$  as counter ion.  $\text{+t} ClO_4^-$  as counter ion.

In this work, we have investigated the complex formation of 1 and 2 with first row transition metal ions (Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>), and with  $Ag^+$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ . For the characterization of the complexes, various techniques in solution (UV titration, NMR spectroscopy, EPR spectroscopy, cyclovoltammetry) and in the solid state (microanalysis, X-ray analysis) can be used. An overview of the investigated complexes and the analytical techniques used in each case is given in Table I.

The solid metal ion complexes were synthesized by reaction of the organic ligands 1 and 2 with transition metal salts in dry, polar aprotic solvents at room temperature. Many complexes could be obtained analytically pure by recrystallization in varying yields. In many cases, metal nonafluorobutanesulfonates have been used [14]. This counter ion will be abbreviated as Nf<sup>-</sup>.

#### INVESTIGATIONS IN SOLUTION

#### UV Titrations

The formation of complexes between concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 and transition metal salts, especially nonafluorobutanesulfonates has been investigated in acetonitrile. Association constants  $K_{\text{assoc}}$  for 1:1 complex formation have been calculated from UV titration data. The homogeneous change in the titration spectra ask for only two absorbing species, the ligand and the complex. Nevertheless, some stoichiometries have been checked by Job plots [23], and they are supported by microanalyses (see below) in most cases. Only for  $1a \cdot MnI_2$ , a 3:2 stoichiometry was found in the crystalline state. This asks for a thorough control by Job plots at different wavelengths and concentrations. Unfortunately, the Job plots for  $1a \cdot MnI_2$  at a total concentration of 0.25 mM only showed a broad plateau between 70:30 and  $45:55$  ( $1a:MnI_2$ ) instead of a maximum at 1:1 stoichiometry (see Table II for data at 320 nm).

At first glance, the finding of a plateau instead of a maximum argues against the 1:1 stoichiometry. But the plateau is in agreement with a 1:1 stoichiometry if the relatively small binding constant  $K_{\text{assoc}}$  for  $1a \cdot MnI_2$  is taken into account (determined by UV titration and confirmed by EPR measurements, see below). Using this association constant and assuming 1:1 stoichiometry, the observed plateau also can be calculated (see Table II). The plateau therefore is in accordance with a 1:1 stoichiometry! In principle at much higher concentrations, the ratio between

TABLE II Job plot data for the complex formation between 1a and  $MnI_2$  measured at 320 nm in acetonitrile at a total concentration of 0.25 mM. At the concentrations used, the complex is partially dissociated\*. Assuming a 1:1 stoichiometry, the concentrations of all species in solution and their respective absorbances were calculated†. The resulting absorbances  $E_{320}$  (calculated) are compared to the measured values  $E_{320}$  (measured)

Ratio $(1a:MnI_2)$	$E_{320}$ (measured)	$E_{320}$ (calculated) <sup>+</sup>	$[Mn^{2+}]$ + 10 <sup>-5</sup> M	$[Mn^{2+} \cdot 1a]$ + $10^{-5}$ M	[1a]+ $10^{-5}$ M
100:0	0.77	0.77	-		25.0
70:30	1.09	1.06	1.1	6.4	11.1
65:35	1.12	1.09	1.6	7.2	9.1
60:40	1.19	1.10	2.2	7.8	7.2
55:45	1.21	1.10	3.0	8.25	5.5
50:50	1.08	1.08	4.1	8.4	4.1
45:55	1.00	1.02	5.5	8.25	3.0
40:60	0.94	0.95	7.2	7.8	2.2

 $*K_{assoc} = 10^{4.7}$ , Ref. [14]. † From the absorbance of the solution containing only the concave 1,10-phenanthroline 1a (100:0), the absorbance coefficient for 1a can be calculated:  $\varepsilon_{320}$  (**ia**) = 3080. Using  $K_{\text{assoc}} = 10^{4.7}$  for the complex formation between **1a** and  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ , the concentrations of  $\text{[Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{[Mn}^{2+}$ . **1a**) and [**1a**] have<br>been calculated. At 50:5



FIGURE 1 Job plot for the complex formation between 1a and MnI<sub>2</sub> at 350 nm in acetonitrile at a total concentration of 1 mM plotted against the molar fraction X of MnI<sub>2</sub>. Each absorption has been corrected by  $(1 - X)E_{350}$  (1a).

complex and free ligand should become larger and the plateau should vanish. Unfortunately for solubility reasons, the Job plot cannot be carried out at very high concentrations. But a second set of experiments has been carried out at a total concentration of 1 mM at 350 nm.‡ Indeed, the plateau vanishes and a maximum is now found at ca. 55:45 (see Fig. 1). But even at this higher total concentration some free ligand 1a is still present and responsible for the shift of the maximum from 50:50 to ca. 55:45; for example at 50:50,  $[1a] = 9.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M and  $[Mn^{2+}·1a] = 41.0 \times 10^{-5} M$ .

Therefore association constants  $K_{\text{assoc}}$  have then been calculated from the UV titrations for 1:1 stoichiometries. In most cases,  $\log K_{\rm assoc} > 6.5$  was observed. Due to the applied concentrations, exact values can only be determined up to  $log K_{assoc} = 6.5$ . Smaller constants were found for 1a containing octamethylene chains which cannot coordinate to the metal ion [14]. Therefore, for  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Ag^+$ , the complex formation has only been measured with 1b and 1c. In Table III, these data are compared with the Kassoc values for other metal salt complexes measured in previous work [14]. The smaller concave ligand 1b forms less stable complexes than the larger 1c indicating the importance of steric interactions.

The 2,9-diaryl-1,10-phenanthroline 2 and 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (neocuproine) also bind transition metal ions well. Due to the smaller sterical hindrance of these ligands near the metal binding site, 1:1 complexes have not been found in all combinations [14].

#### EPR Measurements

Complexes with paramagnetic metal ions possess unpaired electrons. Therefore, EPR measurements of three transition metal salts  $(MnI<sub>2</sub>, Col<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NiI<sub>2</sub>$ ) and their complexes  $(1a \cdot MnI_2, 1c \cdot MnI_2, 1c \cdot Col_2$  and 1c·NiI<sub>2</sub>) have been carried out at room temperature in acetonitrile.

The ligands 1a and 1c alone showed no EPR resonances as expected. For MnI<sub>2</sub> ( $g = 2.0105$ ,  $A_{Mn^{2+}} = 95.8$ ), CoI<sub>2</sub> ( $g = 2.1054$ ,  $A_{Co^{2+}} = 94.6$ ), and  $\text{Nil}_2$  ( $g = 2.009$ ,  $\text{A}_{\text{Ni}^{2+}} = 96.1$ ) 6 lines were observed as expected (spin I =  $5/2$ ). In combination with ligand 1c, however, the signals vanished.

Titrations of  $MnI<sub>2</sub>$  with the concave ligands 1a and 1c were carried out in acetonitrile at  $20^{\circ}$ C and followed by EPR. Stepwise addition of the ligand led to a decrease of the EPR signals (Fig. 2) probably

TABLE III Logarithms of association constants  $log K<sub>assoc</sub>$  for the complex formation between transition metal salts and concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1b and 1c in acetonitrile

	$MnNf2$ *	$FeNf2$ *	$FeNf_3*$	CoNf <sub>2</sub> *	$NiNf2*$	CuNf <sup>*</sup>	$CuNf_2*$	ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	Ag(OOCCF <sub>3</sub> )
1 <sub>b</sub> 1c		4.6 >6.5	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$ > 6.5		> 6.5 >6.5	5.1 >6.5	- 5.6	4.7 5.0	> 6.5 ≫ '

\* Ref. [14].

‡ Measurements at 320 nm were not possible due to the high absorbance at these high concentrations.

Downloaded At: 15:26 29 January 2011 Downloaded At: 15:26 29 January 2011



FIGURE 2 EPR titration of MnI<sub>2</sub> at 20 $\degree$ C in acetonitrile with stepwise addition of 1c (0.2 equiv). Pure  $MnI<sub>2</sub>$  gives a sextet  $(g = 2.0105, A_{Mn^{2+}} = 95.8)$ , with 0.8 equivalents of 1c the peaks disappear completely.

caused by coupling phenomena in the unsymmetrical ligand field within the concave ligand. In contrast to the titration of  $MnI_2$  with 1c, for 1a $MnI_2$  a remaining signal of 5% of its original height was found, even when an excess of 1a was added (1.4 equiv.). The observation of a remaining signal is in accordance with a lower association constants for 1a in comparison to 1c. At 1:1 stoichiometry with  $[Mn^{2+}]_{total} = 2$  mM and  $\log K_{assoc} = 4.7$ , the concentration of free manganese ion concentration is calculated to be  $[Mn^{2+}]$ <sub>uncomplexed</sub> = 0.2 mM. Taken into account that signal height does not correlate linearily with concentration, that the EPR signal may be smaller due to kinetic processes on the same time scale, that ligand 1a has been used in slight excess

TABLE IV  $E_{\text{pa}}$ ,  $E_{\text{pc}}$  and  $E_{1/2}$  values of the transition metal salts and their complexes with 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 and 2 against<br>saturated calomel electrode (SCE), (solvent: acetonitrile<sup>1</sup>, [NBu<sub>4</sub>  $PF_6$ ] = 100 mM, scan rate: 100 mV/s, internal standard: ferrocene  $(E_{1/2} = 0.34 \text{ V}_{\text{(SCE)}}^{\$}$ 

Metal salt/complex*	Potential				
$\overline{2}$	No signal				
FeNf <sub>2</sub>	$E_{\text{pc}} = -0.83 \text{ V}$ , $-1.43 \text{ V}$				
$2$ ·FeNf <sub>2</sub>	$E_{1/2} = -1.27 V, -1.62 V$				
1c	$E_{\rm pa}$ = +2.0 V <sup>+</sup>				
CoNf <sub>2</sub>	$E_{\text{pc}} = -0.95 \text{ V}$				
$1c$ -CoNf <sub>2</sub>	$E_{1/2} = -0.87 \text{ V}, E_{\text{pc}} = -1.27 \text{ V}$				
Col <sub>2</sub>	$E_{1/2} = 0.39, 0.19, E_{\text{pc}} = -0.66^{\circ}$ , $-0.87 \text{ V}^{\circ}$				
$1c \cdot \text{Col}_2$	$E_{1/2} = 0.61, 0.21, E_{\text{pc}} = -0.79, -1.55 \text{ V}$				

 $*$  For irreversible experiments see footnote $\mathbb{I}$ . † Shoulder on the solvent peak.  $\ddagger$ Internal standard: Tris(p-bromophenyl)-amine:  $E_{1/2} = 1.06 \text{ V}$  (SCE). { Badly separated signals (electrode covering). { More complexes were measured the same way:  $2 \text{HclO}_4$ :  $E_{\text{pc}} = -0.84 \text{ V}$ ,  $E_{\text{pa}} = 1.71 \text{ V}$ ,  $2.03 \text{ V}$ .<br>  $2 \text{CuBr}_2^{\#}$ : broad irreproducible signals, no interpretation possible. MnNf<sub>2</sub>:<br>  $E_{\text{pc}} = -0.66 \text{ V}$ ,  $-1.42 \text{ V}$ . Te-MnI<sub></sub>  $-1.57$  V. NiI<sub>2</sub>:  $E_{\text{pc}} = -0.77$ ,  $-1.06$  V. 1c·NiI<sub>2</sub>:  $E_{1/2} = 0.59$ , 0.18 V,  $E_{\text{pc}} = -1.57$ .<br> $-1.92$  V. CuI:  $E_{\text{pc}} = -0.12$ , 0.62, 0.95 V,  $E_{\text{pa}} = 0.12$ , 0.26, 0.73, 1.05 V. 1c·CuI:  $E_{\text{pc}} = -0.26$ , 0.32, 0.89 V,  $E_{\text{pa}} = 0.07$ , 0.10, 0.75, 0.97 V,  $E_{1/2} = -1.36$  V.<br>  $E_{\text{thermal standard: Tris}(p\text{-bromophenyl)-amine: } E_{1/2} = 1.06$  V (SCE). § The  $E_{1/2}$  value for ferrocene is not defined exactly vs. SCE. In this work  $E_{1/2} = 0.34$  V<sub>(SCE)</sub> was used.) <sup>||</sup> Acetonitrile was refluxed over powdered CaH2 in an argon atmosphere and was distilled using a column.

and that both measurements have their errors, the data observed from the EPR experiments and from the UV titrations are in reasonable agreement. Using ligand 1c, the EPR signal disappears completely (at 1:1 stoichiometry:  $[Mn^{2+}]_{total} = 2$  mM;  $log K_{assoc} \ge 7$ ;  $[Mn^{2+}]$ <sub>uncomplexed</sub>  $< 0.02$  mM).





 $2 \cdot \text{FeNf}_2$ 





FIGURE 4 Cyclovoltammograms of  $2$ -FeNf<sub>2</sub> and  $1c$ -CoI<sub>2</sub>, scan rate  $100 \,\text{mV/s}$ , [complex] = 1 mM, electrolyte: NBu<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>.

# <sup>1</sup>H NMR Measurements

Metal complexes with an even number of electrons can be investigated by NMR spectroscopy if all electrons are paired (low spin). Besides the  $Cu<sup>+</sup>$ complexes [13],  $Ni^{2+}$ , Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup> complexes have been investigated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. In analogy to the protonation of the bimacrocycles 1a–1c [13,14], complexation of metal ions to 1c or 2 led to lowfield shifts in the NMR spectra.

While  $1c\text{-}NiNf_2$  only gave broad paramagnetic signals like  $1c \cdot MnI_2$ ,  $1c \cdot FeNf_2$  showed a set of paraand diamagnetic peaks (ratio ca. 2:1, Fig. 3). The diamagnetic signals shifted up to 0.8 ppm in comparison to 1c. Temperature variation from 212 to 331 K did not change the ratio of the paramagnetic and diamagnetic signals, but the paramagnetic resonances shifted considerably in a range of 70 ppm (selected signals see "Experimental Section").

According to previous investigations [13], shifts of the ligand protons in  $Cu<sup>+</sup>$  complexes depended on the complex geometry. In 2·CuBr (1:1 complex), shifts of the 1,10-phenanthroline hydrogen atoms of up to 0.2 ppm towards lower field were found. In contrast,

#### Cyclovoltammetry (CV)

Many metal ions can exist in at least two oxidation states. Therefore CV experiments for oxidation and reduction of some complexes were carried out in dry acetonitrile with a Pt working electrode and an  $Ag/Ag^+$  reference electrode using tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate ( $NBu_4PF_6$ ) as electrolyte (see Table IV).

Cyclovoltammograms of the concave 1,10-phenanthroline 1c between  $-1.5$  V and  $+2.5$  V showed an oxidation peak beyond  $+2.0$  V which could not be separated from the solvent peak. For 2, no CV signal was detectable.

For most complexes, the voltammograms did not show reversible electron transfers. In acetonitrile, the investigated pure metal salts always showed irreversible reduction waves caused by a twoelectron transfer accompanied by electrode covering. For the iron and cobalt complexes  $2$ ·FeNf<sub>2</sub>,  $1c$ ·CoNf<sub>2</sub> and 1c·CoI<sub>2</sub> reversible CV peaks corresponding to the Co<sup>0</sup> to Co<sup>+</sup> and to Co<sup>2+</sup> oxidation and to the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  to Fe<sup>+</sup> and to Fe<sup>2+</sup> oxidation could be observed.<sup>1</sup> Comparison with the pure metal salt and the pure ligand showed that the organic unit stabilizes the mono-charged oxidation state  $(Co^+$ , Fe<sup>+</sup>). Apparently complexation increases the electron density with the consequence that the reduction potential from  $M^+$  to  $M^0$  shifts to more negative values (Fig. 4).

The electrochemical investigation of the complex 1c·CuI did not show reversible electron transfers for  $Cu^{+/2+}$ , but in separate experiments it was possible to isolate the copper bimacrocycle complex in both oxidation states.

#### INVESTIGATIONS IN THE SOLID STATE

#### Microanalyses

A number of complexes were isolated as solids and could be obtained in analytically pure quality as 1:1 complexes by recrystallization whereas other compounds refused to crystallize in a defined composition. For the open chain 1,10-phenanthroline 2 various complex stoichiometries have been found. 2 and Fe( $ClO<sub>4</sub>$ )<sub>2</sub> crystallize as a 2:1 compound, whereas  $FeNf<sub>2</sub>$  crystallizes with 2 in a 1.5:1 ratio [24]. FeCl<sub>3</sub> precipitated with 2 as a 1:1 complex. With  $Col<sub>2</sub>$  and 2, a 3:1, and with  $Nil<sub>2</sub>$  and 2, a 2.6:1



FIGURE 5 X-ray structure of 2·CuBr<sub>2</sub>, (a) view perpendicular to the 1,10-phenanthroline-plane, (b) view from above.

stoichiometry were found [24]. The CuBr and  $CuBr<sub>2</sub>$ complexes of 2 exist as 1:1 complexes whereas the copper(I)ion in the complex of CuNf with 2 is tetrahedrally coordinated by two ligands. For the concave 1,10-phenanthroline ligands 1, 1:1 complex stoichiometries have been found. The 3:2 stoichiometry of  $1a \cdot MnI_2$  is an exception. Maybe the 1:1 complex and the free ligand crystallize as a mixture. In solution, the EPR and UV measurements argue for a 1:1 complex stoichiometry (see above).

# X-ray Analysis

Unfortunately, complexes of the transition metal salts with concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 did not crystallize as single crystals. However the complex of the non-macrocyclic ligand  $2$  with  $CuBr<sub>2</sub>$  gave single crystals. The geometry of this complex has been confirmed by X-ray analysis (Fig. 5).

The X-ray structure of  $2$ -CuBr<sub>2</sub> shows that the  $d^9$ -copper(II) ion is coordinated almost tetrahedrally by the nitrogen donors of the 1,10-phenanthroline and by the bromide anions. The N–Cu–N (bond length 2.05  $\dot{A}$ ) and Br–Cu–Br (bond length 2.01  $\dot{A}$ ) planes enclose an angle of  $65^{\circ}$ , and the dihedral angle between the 1,10-phenanthroline system and the phenyl substituents enclose 69° and 75°. A comparison with X-ray analyses of two concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1a and 1b [14], one with pure aliphatic, the other with polyether side chains, shows that the 1,10-phenanthroline phenyl distortion is not changed much by metal ion complexation. The similar structures of the rigid backbone of the 1,10-phenanthroline substituted by phenyl units in 2,9-positions in the bimacrocycles 1a and 1b and in 2·CuBr<sub>2</sub> allow two conclusions: concave ligands and concave complexes have comparable structures, and the metal ion interacts with the basic nitrogen

# CONCAVE REAGENTS 205



\* Isotropic refinement. \*\* Not refined.

atoms of the bimacrocycles 1 in the cavity in the same way as in  $2$ -CuBr<sub>2</sub>. The search for suitable single crystals of metal complexes of the concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 is on going (Table V).

# **CONCLUSION**

Concave 1,10-phenanthrolines 1 are good ligands for the complexation of transition metal ions. The complex formation can be analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively by a variety of analytical methods. Due to their special geometry, they exclusively form 1:1 complexes with transition metal ions leaving some coordination sites of the metal ion open for the coordination of further, smaller ligands. This is a prerequisite for catalytic activity which has already been shown for some complexes [18–22]. In these catalytic reactions, the concave shielding of the catalytic center by the concave ligand leads to remarkable selectivities.

# EXPERIMENTAL

The organic ligands 1 and 2 and the transition metal nonafluorobutanesulfonates  $MNf_n$  were synthesized as described [13,14]. For solvent preparation and general equipment see [13].

EPR measurements: BER 420, Fa. Bruker. All spectra were measured in acetonitrile at room temperature:  $MnI_2$  and titration of  $MnI_2$  with 1a and 1c  $(\nu = 9.641 \text{ GHz}, \text{ center field: } 3500 \text{ G}, \text{ modulation}$ 16 G, time constant 0.5 s, sweep time 200 s, sweep wide 1000 G, enhancement  $2.\bar{5} \times 10^4$ , microwave power 4 mW):  $g = 2.0105$ ,  $A_{Mn^{2+}} = 95.8$  G. CoI<sub>2</sub>  $(\nu = 9.639 \text{ GHz}, \text{ center field: } 3600 \text{ G}, \text{ modulation}$ 16 G, time constant 0.2 s, sweep time 200 s, sweep wide 1000 G, enhancement  $1.25 \times 10^6$ , microwave power 4 mW):  $g = 2.1054$ ,  $A_{Co^{2+}} = 94.6$  G. NiI<sub>2</sub> (in  $CH_3CN/H_2O$ ) ( $\nu = 9.643$  GHz, center field: 3000 G, modulation 20 G, time constant 0.2 s, sweep time 200 s, sweep wide 2500 G, enhancement  $2 \times 10^5$ , microwave power 4 mW):  $g = 2.009$ ,  $A_{Ni^{2+}} = 96.1$  G. No EPR signals were observed for  $1a$ ,  $1c$ ,  $1a$ ·MnI<sub>2</sub>,  $1c \cdot MnI_2$ ,  $1c \cdot Col_2$ ,  $1c \cdot Nil_2$ .

CV measurements: Scanning potentiostat 326, Fa. Princeton. XYt recorder PM 8271 Fa. Philips, Pt working electrode,  $Ag/Ag^+$  reference electrode,  $NBu_4PF_6$  as electrolyte in dry acetonitrile.

# SYNTHESES OF THE TRANSITION METAL COMPLEXES

General procedures: Only complexes with satisfactory microanalyses are described.

Method A: A solution of the transition metal salt in 5–20 ml of dry methanol was added slowly to a solution of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2) in 5–20 ml of dry dichloromethane. After stirring for 2h at room temperature, the solvents were distilled off in vacuo and the solid residue was recrystallized.

Method B: Stoichiometric amounts (40–80 mmol) of the concave 1,10-phenanthroline 1 and the transition metal salt were dissolved in 50–80 ml of dry acetonitrile and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was distilled off and the solid residue was recrystallized.

Method C: The concave 1,10-phenanthroline 1 was dissolved in 5–10 ml of dry dichloromethane. The dry metal salt in 5–10 ml of dry methanol was added over 2 h at room temperature while stirring. After evaporation, the solid residue was recrystallized.

# $Mn^{2+}$  Complexes

6,15,33,42-Tetraoxa-43,46-diazaheptacyclo-  $[18.12.10.4^{22,31}.0^{5,32}.0^{16,21}.0^{25,45}.0^{28,44}].$ hexatetraconta-1(32),2,4,16,18,20,22,24,26,28,30,43,45-tridecaene  $Manganese(II)$  Iodide (1a $MnI_2$ )

According to method C, 49 mg (0.16 mmol) of dry  $MnI<sub>2</sub>$  was added to 100 mg (0.16 mmol) of 1a. After recrystallization from petroleum ether (b p: 60–  $70^{\circ}$ C)/acetone a light yellow solid was obtained (119 mg, 89%), mp > 330°C;  $[C_{40}H_{44}N_2O_4]_3$ ·[MnI<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2466.68): Calcd: C 58.40, H 5.39, N 3.40, Found: C 58.01, H 5.32, N 3.33; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 2905, 2830  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 1600, 1460 (arom.), 1250, 1100 (C–O–C).

6,9,12,15,33,36,39,42-Octaoxa-43,46-diazaheptacyclo-  $[18.12.10.4^{22,31}.0^{5,32}.0^{16,21}.0^{25,45}.0^{28,44}].$ hexatetraconta-1(32),2,4,16,18,20,22,24,26,28,30,43,45-tridecaene Manganese(II) Nonafluorobutanesulfonate  $(1b\cdot MnNf_2)$ 

According to method B,  $100.5 \text{ mg}$  (153.8  $\mu$ mol) of MnNf<sub>2</sub> was added to 96.1 mg (153.8  $\mu$ mol) of 1**b**. After recrystallization from water/methanol, 149 mg (71%) of a slightly yellow solid was obtained,  $mp > 250^{\circ}C; C_{44}H_{36}F_{18}MnN_2O_{14}S_2$  (1277.80) Calcd. C 41.36, H 2.84, N 2.19, Found C 41.61, H 3.25, N 2.31; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{\nu})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1603, 1472, 1454 (arom.), 1265, 1056  $(C-O-C)$ , 1255, 1220 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 868, 736, 656 (arom.).

# 6,9,12,15,18,24,27,30,33,36-Decaoxa-49,52-diazaheptacyclo-  $[17.17.12.4^{38,47}.0^{5,37}.0^{19,48}.0^{41,50}.0^{44,51}]$ -dopentaconta-1(37),2,4,19(48),20,22,38,40,42,44,46,49,51-tridecaene  $Manganese(II)$  Iodide (1c $MnI_2$ )

According to method C, 12 mg (0.04 mmol) of dry  $MnI<sub>2</sub>$  was added to 30 mg (0.04 mmol) of 1c and the light yellow solid was recrystallized from benzene/acetonitrile (37.2 mg, 91%), mp  $> 250^{\circ}C$ ; C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>44-</sub>  $I_2MnN_2O_{10}$  (1021.52) Calcd C 47.03, H 4.34, N 2.74, Found C 46.85, H 4.21, N 2.67; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1600, 1470 (arom.), 1255, 1095 (C-O-C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(250 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta = 1.0, 2.0, 3.5$  (very broad peaks caused by paramagnetic  $Mn^{2+}$ ).

### 2,9-Bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline  $Manganese(II)$  Iodide (2 $\cdot$ MnI<sub>2</sub>)

According to method A,  $205 \text{ mg}$  (660  $\mu$ mol) of dry  $MnI<sub>2</sub>$  was added to 300 mg (660  $\mu$ mol) of 2. The resulting yellow solid was recrystallized from methanol/acetonitrile, yielding  $2 \cdot MnI_2$  (405 mg, 81%), mp > 330°C; C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>24</sub>MnI<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (761.02): Calcd: C 44.2, H 3.2, N 3.75, Found: C 44.6, H 3.3, N 4.4; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1590, 1465 (arom.), 1250, 1100 (C–O–C).

# $Fe<sup>2+</sup> Complexes$

# 6,9,12,15,18,24,27,30,33,36-Decaoxa-49,52-diazaheptacyclo-  $[17.17.12.4^{38,47}.0^{5,37}.0^{19,48}.0^{41,50}.0^{44,51}]\text{-}dependenta-$ 1(37),2,4,19(48),20,22,38,40,42,44,46,49,51-tridecaene Iron(II) Nonafluorobutanesulfonate  $(1c$ ·FeNf<sub>2</sub>)

According to method B,  $50.0 \text{ mg}$  (76.4  $\mu$ mol) of iron(II)nonafluorobutanesulfonate was added to 57.2 mg (76.4  $\mu$ mol) of 1c. The yellow residue was recrystallized from water/methanol (67.8 mg, 65%), mp 114°C; C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>44</sub>F<sub>18</sub>FeN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>16</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (1366.45): Calcd: C 42.18, H 3.25, N 2.05, Found: C 42.85, H 3.66, N 2.10. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 30°C):  $\delta$  = -0.65 (br. s, para.\* ), 1.80 (br. s, para.), 2.10 (br. s, para.), 2.30 (br. s, para.), 2.95 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.0 (br. s, para.), 3.15 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.29 ( $m_c$ , 8 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.63 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.78  $(m_c, 4 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_2)$ , 4.29  $(m_c, 4 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_2)$ , 4.37  $(m_c, 4 \text{ H},$ CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.54 (br.  $m_{c}$ , para.), 6.85 (d, J = 9 Hz, ca. 4 H,  $H_{3'/5'}$ ), 7.55 (t, J = 9 Hz, ca. 2 H, H<sub>4</sub> $'$ ), 8.45 (d, J = 9 Hz, ca. 2 H, H<sub>3/8</sub>), 8.54 (s, 2 H, H<sub>5/6</sub>), 9.16 (d, J = 9 Hz, ca. 2 H,  $H_{4/7}$ , 9.72 (br. s, para.), 15.30 (br. s, para.), 31.25 (br. s, para.), 48.85 (br. s, para.);  $\delta_{H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $59^{\circ}$ C)  $-0.23$  (br. s, para.), 1.68 (br. s, para.), 2.10 (br. s, para.), 2.60 (br. s, para.), 2.88 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.10 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.16 ( $m_c$ , 8 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.62 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.72 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.20 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.28 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH2), 5.22 (br. s, para.), 5.55 (br. s, para.), 6.86 (d,  $J = 9$  Hz, ca. 4 H, H<sub>3'/5'</sub>), 7.57 (t,  $J = 9$  Hz, ca. 2 H, H<sub>4</sub> $)$ , 8.43 (s, 2 H, H<sub>5/6</sub>), 8.47 (d, J = 9 Hz, ca. 2 H,

phen), 9.10 (d,  $J = 9$  Hz, ca. 2 H, phen), 9.60 (br. s, para.), 14.55 (br. s, para.), 28.80 (br. s, para.), 45.80 (br. s, para.); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, -61°C):  $\delta = -5.8$  (br. s, para. \*),  $-1.0$  (br. s, para.), 0.3 (br. s, para.), 2.8 ( $m_c$ , 6 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.4 ( $m_c$ , 10 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.7 ( $m_c$ ,  $4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>$ ), 3.8 ( $m<sub>c</sub>$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.3 ( $m<sub>c</sub>$ , 8 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.9 (s, 4 H, H<sub>3'/5'</sub>), 7.6 (s, 2 H, H<sub>4'</sub>), 8.3 (s, 2 H, phen), 8.8 (s, 2 H, phen), 9.3 (s, 2 H, phen), 22.5 (br. s, para.), 44.0 (br. s, para.), 70.2 (br. s, para.); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz,  $[D_6]$ -DMSO, 25°C):  $\delta = 2.81$  ( $m_c$ , 8 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.30 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.54 ( $m_c$ , 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.92 ( $m_c$ , 16 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.71 (d,  $J = 9$  Hz, 4 H,  $H_{3'/5'}$ ), 7.57 (t,  $J = 9$  Hz, 2 H, H<sub>4</sub><sup></sup>), 7.63 (br. s, 2 H, H<sub>3/8</sub>), 8.03 (br. s, 2 H, H<sub>5/6</sub>), 8.48 (br. s, 2 H, H<sub>4/7</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 69:26; 69.65, 70.01, 70.29, 70.34, 70.73, 70.81, 106.45, 127.62, 128.21, 129.63, 131.38, 133.60, 135.48, 141.03, 153.09, 157.39.  $\dot{\cdot}$ : para. = very broad signals caused by paramagnetic  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ .

# Bis[2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)- 1,10-phenanthroline] Iron(II) Perchlorate  $([2]_2 \text{·Fe} (ClO_4)_2)$

According to method A,  $0.642\text{ g}$  (1.76 mmol) of Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6 H<sub>2</sub>O was added to 0.80 g (1.76 mmol) of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2). The solvents were distilled off (an analytical sample was dried and was shown to be stable against shock and heating) and the yellow precipitate was recrystallized from dichloromethane/methanol as yellow needles  $(0.72 \text{ g}, 71\%)$ , mp  $> 250^{\circ}$ C (dec.);  $C_{56}H_{48}Cl_2FeN_4O_{16}$  (1159.80): Calcd: C 58.00, H 4.17, N 4.83, Found: C 58.18, H 4.20, N 4.61; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  3000, 2925, 2825 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 1595, 1470 (arom.), 1255, 1245, 1110, 1085 (C-O-C);<sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.83$  (s, 12 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.88 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4 H,  $H_{2'/6}$ ), 7.58 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2 H, H<sub>4</sub>), 8.23 (s, 2 H, H<sub>5/6</sub>), 8.35 (d,  $J = 8$  Hz, 2 H, H<sub>3/8</sub>), 8.85 (d,  $J = 8$  Hz, 2 H,  $H_{4/7}$ ). Remark: The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed additional broad peaks between 1.0–1.2 ppm and 3.2–3.7 ppm.

#### $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  Complex

## 2,9-Bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline Iron(III) Chloride  $(2 \cdot FeCl<sub>3</sub>)$

According to method A, 282 mg (1.74 mmol) of dry FeCl<sub>3</sub> was added to  $785 \text{ mg}$  (1.74 mmol) of 2,9bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2) (dried over active molecular sieve  $(4 \text{ Å})$  in solution). The solvents were distilled off and the brown air stable residue was recrystallized from dichloromethane/acetonitrile (336 mg, 31 %), mp: 228–230°C;  $C_{28}H_{24}Cl_3FeN_2O_4.0.5 H_2O (614.49 + 9.00):$  Calcd: C 53.92, H 4.04, N 4.49, Found: C 53.76, H 4.05, N 4.49; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{\nu})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1595, 1473 (arom.), 1250, 1104, 1018  $(C-O-C)$ .

# $Co<sup>2+</sup> Complexes$

6,9,12,15,18,24,27,30,33,36-Decaoxa-49,52-diazaheptacyclo-  $[17.17.12.4^{38,47}.0^{5,37}.0^{19,48}.0^{41,50}.0^{44,51}]-dopenta\nconta-$ 1(37),2,4,19(48),20,22,38,40,42,44,46,49,51-tridecaene Cobalt(II) Nonafluorobutanesulfonate  $(1c \cdot CoNf_2)$ 

According to method B, 32.5 g (49.5 mmol) of CoNf<sub>2</sub>·3 H<sub>2</sub>O was added to 37.1 mg (49.5 mmol) of 1c. The solid was recrystallized from dioxane to give a white solid (15.1 mg, 22%), mp 136°C; C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>44-</sub>  $CoF_{18}N_2O_{16}S_2·5$  H<sub>2</sub>O (1369.94 + 90.10): Calcd: C 39.49, H 3.73, N 1.92, Found: C 39.53, H 3.42, N 2.03; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{\nu})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1590, 1460 (arom.), 1345, 1200  $(C-F)$ , 1280, 1085  $(C-O-C)$ , 1240, 1050  $(SO<sub>2</sub>)$ .

# 6,9,12,15,18,24,27,30,33,36-Decaoxa-49,52-diazaheptacyclo-  $[17.17.12.4^{38,47}.0^{5,37}.0^{19,48}.0^{41,50}.0^{44,51}]$ -dopentaconta-1(37),2,4,19(48),20,22,38,40,42,44,46,49,51-tridecaene Cobalt(II) Iodide  $(1c \cdot Col_2)$

According to method B, 13 mg (0.04 mmol) of dry  $Col<sub>2</sub>$  was added to 30 mg (40  $\mu$ mol) of 1c and the green solid was recrystallized from toluene/acetonitrile to a light green solid (16 mg, 37%), mp  $> 250^{\circ}$ C;  $C_{40}H_{44}CoI_2N_2O_{10}$  +  $H_2O$  (1097.51 + 72.04): Calcd: C 43.77, H 4.76, N 2.55, Found: C 43.84, H 4.83, N 2.31; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1600, 1460 (arom.), 1250, 1100 (C- $O-C$ ).

# Tris[2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)- 1,10-phenanthroline] Cobalt(II) Iodide ([2]<sub>3</sub>CoI<sub>2</sub>)

According to method A, 141 mg (0.55 mmol) of dry  $CoI<sub>2</sub>$  was added to 408 mg (1.10 mmol) of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2). The resulting orange solid was recrystallized from toluene/acetonitrile (146 mg, 24%), mp 245°C;  $C_{84}$ H72CoI2N6O12 (1669.57): Calcd: C 60.41, H 4.35, N 5.03, Found: C 60.18, H 4.10, N 5.05; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$ cm<sup>-1</sup> 1595, 1465 (arom.), 1245, 1110 (C–O–C).

# $Ni<sup>2+</sup> Complex$

6,9,12,15,18,24,27,30,33,36-Decaoxa-49,52-diazaheptacyclo-  $[17.17.12.4^{38,47}.0^{5,37}.0^{19,48}.0^{41,50}.0^{44,51}]\text{-}dopenta\nconta-$ 1(37),2,4,19(48),20,22,38,40,42,44,46,49,51-tridecaene  $Nickel(II)$  Nonafluorobutanesulfonate  $(1c\cdot NiNf_2)$ 

According to method B, 57 mg (81  $\mu$ mol) of NiNf<sub>2</sub>.4  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  was added to 61 mg (81  $\mu$ mol) of 1c. The solid was recrystallized from water/methanol to give green crystals (89 mg, 80%), mp 86°C;  $C_{48}H_{44}F_{18}N_{2}$ NiO<sub>16</sub>S<sub>2</sub>·4 H<sub>2</sub>O (1441.41): Calcd: C 39.99, H 3.64, N 1.94, Found: C 39.80, H 3.42, N 1.88; IR (KBr): ( $\tilde{v}$ )  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  1590, 1460 (arom.), 1345, 1210 (C–F), 1280, 1085

 $(C-O-C)$ , 1240, 1050  $(SO<sub>2</sub>)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.6–3.6 (2 peaks), 3.5–4.1, 5.3 (s), 5.1– 6.1, 8.6–9.2, 11.6–12.2, 12.6–14.6, 17.1–17.5, 22.4. Remark: Very broad signals caused by paramagnetic  $Ni<sup>2+</sup>$ .

# Cu<sup>+</sup> Complexes

# 2,9-Bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline Copper(I) Bromide (2·CuBr)

According to method A,  $195 \text{ mg}$  (660  $\mu$ mol) of dry CuBr was added as solid under nitrogen to 300 mg  $(660 \mu \text{mol})$  of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2). The resulting dark red solution was evaporated and the residue recrystallized from methanol (301 mg, 77%), mp  $> 330^{\circ}$ C;  $C_{28}H_{24}BrCuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>0.75 H<sub>2</sub>O$  (609.47): Calcd: C 55.18, H 4.21, N 4.60, Found: C 55.15, H 4.11, N 4.34; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{\nu})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1595, 1465 (arom.), 1240, 1100 (C-O-C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.5$  (s, >1 H<sub>2</sub>O), 3.76 (s, 12 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.70 (d,  $J = 8$  Hz, 4 H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.42 (t,  $J = 8$  Hz, 2 H, H<sub>3'/5'</sub>), 7.85 (d,  $J = 8$  Hz, 2 H, H<sub>3/8</sub>), 7.92 (s, 2 H, H<sub>5/6</sub>), 8.38 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2 H, H<sub>4/7</sub>).

# Bis[2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)- 1,10-phenanthroline] Copper(I) Nonafluorobutanesulfonate  $([2]_2$ ·CuNf)

According to method A, but under nitrogen, 1.7 g (4 mmol) of acetonitrilo-copper(I) nonafluorobutanesulfonate was added to 3.5 g (8.0 mmol) of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2). The residue was recrystallized from acetone to give red air stable crystals (4.50 g, 87%), mp 317– 324°C; C<sub>60</sub>H<sub>48</sub>CuF<sub>9</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (1283.69): Calcd: C 56.85, H 3.82, N 4.42, Found: C 57.41, H 3.88, N 4.36; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3480 (H<sub>2</sub>O), 2905, 2810 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 1595, 1465 (arom.), 1245, 1110 (C-O-C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.03$  (s, 12 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.88 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4 H,  $H_{3'/5'}$ ), 6.80 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2 H, H<sub>4'</sub>), 7.45 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2  $H, H_{3/8}$ , 7.92 (s, 2 H,  $H_{5/6}$ ), 8.26 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2 H,  $H_{4/8}$ 7); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 54.37, 102.67, 115.65, 126.58, 127.66, 128.91, 130.26, 135.24, 144.58, 154.08, 157.07; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (200 MHz, CFCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = -81.37$  (td,  $J_t = 12$  Hz,  $J_d = 2$  Hz, 3 F, CF<sub>3</sub>), -114.90 (td,  $J_t =$ 12 Hz,  $J_d = 2$  Hz, 2 F, CF<sub>2</sub>),  $-121.89$  ( $m_c$ , 2 F, CF<sub>2</sub>),  $-126.31$  ( $m_c$ , 2 F, CF<sub>2</sub>).

# $Cu<sup>2+</sup> Complexes$

# 6,9,12,15,18,24,27,30,33,36-Decaoxa-49,52-diazaheptacyclo-  $[17.17.12.4^{38,47}.0^{5,37}.0^{19,48}.0^{41,50}.0^{44,51}]\text{-}dopenta\nconta-$ 1(37),2,4,19(48),20,22,38,40,42,44,46,49,51-tridecaene  $Copper(II)$  Bromide (1c $\cdot$ CuBr<sub>2</sub>)

According to method B,  $23 \text{ mg}$  (100  $\mu$ mol) of dry CuBr<sub>2</sub> was added to a solution of 76 mg (100  $\mu$ mol) of 1c in dry dichloromethane. After stirring at room temperature for 10 min the yellow solution changed color into a dark brown. After evaporation the dark brown solid was recrystallized from dichloromethane/methanol (32 mg, 34%), mp 210–212°C; C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>44-</sub> Br2CuN2O10·1.5 H2O (963.20): Calcd: C 49.88, H 4.92, N 2.91, Found: C 49.67, H 4.43, N 2.99; IR (KBr): ( $\tilde{v}$ )  $cm^{-1}$  3400 (H<sub>2</sub>O), 2900, 2845 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 1590, 1460 (arom.), 1245, 1100 (C–O–C).

# 2,9-Bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline  $Copper(II)$  Bromide (2 $\cdot$ CuBr<sub>2</sub>)

According to method A,  $0.64$  g (2.9 mmol) of CuBr<sub>2</sub> was added to 1.30 g (2.9 mmol) of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2). The residue was recrystallized from benzene/acetonitrile to give dark red crystals (0.43 g, 22%), mp 180°C; C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Br<sub>2-</sub> CuN2O4·2 CH3CN (757.67): Calcd: C 50.71, H 3.99, N 7.39, Found: C 50.62, H 3.97, N 7.07; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v})$  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  2220 (CN), 1595, 1465 (arom.), 1245, 1120 (C– O–C); MS (CI, isobutane):  $m/z$  (%) = 676 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1,  $(0.5)$ , 596 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1 —Br, 48), 516 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1 —2 Br, 18), 453 ( $M^+ + 1 - CuBr_2$ ).

X-ray data of 2-CuBr<sub>2</sub>: Experimental parameters: Empirical formula:  $C_{28}H_{24}N_2O_4 \cdot C_4H_6N_2$ , molecular weight: 757.98, room temperature, wave length: 1.54184 A, crystal system: triclinic, space group (P1bar) No. 2,  $a = 1153(4)$  pm,  $b = 1177(8)$  pm,  $c =$ 1251(5) pm,  $\alpha = 85.297(8)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 85.437(8)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma =$ 76.159(9)°,  $V = 1642.1(3) \times 10^6$  pm<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2$ density<sub>calcd</sub> = 1.533 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Enraf Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, Cu– $K_{\alpha}$  radiation, graphite monochromator, crystal size [mm]:  $0.74 \times 0.5 \times 0.3$ ,  $\sin \Theta/\lambda_{\text{max}} = 0.561$ , reflections used for cell parameters:  $28.4 < 2\Theta < 44.0$ , total no. of reflections: 5097, no. of independent reflections: 4849, no. of observed reflections  $(I > 2\sigma(I))$ : 4627, F(000): 762, absorption correction: max: 99.75, min: 49.19, absorption coefficient:  $\mu = 41.345 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , method of solution: direct (SIR 88), method of refinement: LSFM, parameters:  $485$ , R, R<sub>W</sub>: 0.040, 0.043, extinction coefficient: 0.000002074, program used: MOLEN (Enraf-Nonius, 1990).

# $Zn^{2+}$  Complex

### 2,9-Bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline Zink Trifluoroacetate  $(2\text{-}Zn(OOCCF_3)_2)$

A solution of zink trifluoroacetate (excess, the exact amount was unknown due to extreme hydroscopicity) in 3 ml of dry methanol was slowly added to  $100 \,\text{mg}$  (220  $\mu$ mol) of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2) in 5 ml of dry dichloromethane. The mixture turned turbide, and after 2 h of stirring the solid was isolated by centrifugation. The white powder was washed with warm dichloromethane and warm acetone and was dried in vacuo yielding 117 mg (70%), mp > 250°C; C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>24</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>  $Zn·H_2O$  (761.95): Calcd: C 50.45, H 3.44, N 3.68, Found: C 50.57, H 3.27, N 3.78; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{\nu})$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 1703 (CO<sub>2</sub>), 1599, 1476 (arom. C-H), 1255 (C-O-C), 1184 (C–F), 1111 (C–O–C).

### $Ag^+$  Complex

# 2,9-Bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline Silver Trifluoroacetate  $(2·AgOOCCF_3)$

A solution of  $49.0 \,\text{mg}$  (220  $\mu$ mol) of silver trifluoroacetate in 2 ml of dry methanol was added slowly to a solution of  $100 \text{ mg}$  (220  $\mu$ mol) of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2) in 5 ml of dry dichloromethane. After stirring for 2h, the solvents were evaporated. The remaining solid was recrystallized from acetone/dichloromethane (5:1) yielding 123 mg (82%), mp > 250°C; C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>24</sub>AgF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2-</sub>  $O_6.0.5$  H<sub>2</sub>O (682.42): Calcd: C 52.80, H 3.69, N 4.11, Found: C 52.63, H 3.54, N 4.07; IR (KBr):  $(\tilde{v}) = \text{cm}^{-1}$  $1677 \, ({\rm CO}_2^-)$ , 1598, 1472 (arom. C-H), 1250 (C-O-C), 1202 (C-F), 1106 (C-O-C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.75$  (s, 12 H, O–CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.70 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 4 H,  $H_{3'/5'}$ ), 7.40 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, H<sub>4'</sub>) 7.95  $(d, J = 9.0 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{H}_{3/8})$ , 7.95 (s, 2 H,  $\text{H}_{5/6}$ ), 8.45 (d,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 2 H, H<sub>4/7</sub>).

# $Cd^{2+}$  Complex

## 2,9-Bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline Cadmium Trifluoroacetate  $[2 \cdot Cd(OOCCF_3)_2]$

A solution of cadmium trifluoroacetate (excess, the exact amount was unknown due to a high water content) in 3 ml of dry methanol was added to a solution of  $100 \text{ mg}$  (220  $\mu$ mol) of 2,9-bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline (2) in 5 ml of dry dichloromethane. After 2 h of stirring the solvents were evaporated, and the remaining residue was recrystallized from acetone/dichloromethane (1:3) yielding 133 mg (76%), mp > 250 °C; C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>24-</sub>  $CdF_6N_2O_8.0.5 H_2O$  (799.98): Calcd: C 48.05, H 3.16, N 3.50, Found: C 48.15, H 3.02, N 3.57; IR (KBr): ( $\tilde{v}$ ) cm<sup>-1</sup> 1676 (CO<sub>2</sub>), 1588, 1475 (arom. C-H), 1251 (C-O–C), 1192 (C–F), 1104 (C–O–C).

#### Acknowledgements

The support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG Lu 378/8) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

<sup>[1]</sup> Schilt, A.A. (1969) Analytical Applications of 1,10-Phenanthroline and Related Compounds (Pergamon Press, Oxford).

- [2] Sammes, P.G. and Yahioglu, G. (1994), Chem. Soc. Rev. 23, 327–334.
- [3] Togni, A. and Venanzi, L.M. (1994), Angew. Chem. 106, 517–547.
- [4] Togni, A. and Venanzi, L.M., (1994) Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 33, 497.
- [5] For overview: Wilkinson, G. (Ed.) (1987) Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry (Pergamon Press, Oxford).
- [6] For overview: Gmelins Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie, 8 Ed., VCH, Weinheim, for Ni<sup>2+</sup>: 57 C1 (1968) pp. 418-423, for  $Co^{2+}$ : 58 B Erg. 1 (1963) pp. 100–105, for  $Cu^{+}/^{2+}$ : 60 B4 (1966) pp. 1525–1530.
- [7] Sauvage, J.-P. (1990), Acc. Chem. Res. 23, 319–327.
- [8] Bitsch, F., Dietrich-Buchecker, C.O., Khémiss, A.-K., Sauvage, J.-P. and Van Dorsselaer, A. (1991), J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 4023–4025.
- [9] Dietrich-Buchecker, C.O., Guilhem, J., Pascard, C. and Sauvage, J.-P. (1990), Angew. Chem. 102, 1202–1204.
- [10] C.O. Dietrich-Buchecker, J. Guilhem, C. Pascard and J.-P. Sauvage, (1990) Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 29, 1154.
- [11] Dietrich-Buchecker, C.O., Nierengarten, J.-F., Sauvage, J.-P., Armaroli, N., Balzani, V. and De Cola, L. (1993), J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 11237–11244.
- [12] Ibukuro, F., Fujita, M., Yamaguchi, K. and Sauvage, J.-P. (1999), J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 11014–11015.
- [13] Lüning, U. and Müller, M. (1990), Chem. Ber. 123, 643-645.
- [14] Lüning, U., Müller, M., Gelbert, M., Peters, K., von Schnering, H.G. and Keller, M. (1994), Chem. Ber. 127, 2297–2306.
- [15] Lüning, U. (1987), Liebigs Ann. Chem., 949-955.
- [16] Lüning, U. (1995), Top. Curr. Chem. 175, 57-99.
- [17] Lüning, U. (1997), J. Mater. Chem. 7, 175-182.
- [18] Hagen, M. and Lüning, U. (1997), Chem. Ber./Recueil 130, 231–234.
- [19] Löffler, F., Hagen, M. and Lüning, U. (1999), Synlett, 1826–1828.
- [20] Bühl, M., Terstegen, F., Löffler, F., Meynhardt, B., Kierse, S., Müller, M., Näther, C. and Lüning, U. (2001), Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2151–2160.
- [21] Gelbert, M. and Lüning, U. (2001), Supramolecular Chem. 12, 435–444.
- [22] Meynhardt, B., Lüning, U., Wolff, C. and Näther, C. (1999), Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2327–2335.
- [23] Job, P. (1928), Ann. Chim. 9, 113–135.
- [24] Gelbert, M. (1995) Ph. D. thesis (Universität Freiburg, Germany).